

File With

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal No

ABP— 314485-22

Defer Re O/H

☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received 13/12/2023
from Audrey Wilhite I recommend that section 131 of the Planning
and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):

no new material issues

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.

☒

Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks for reply.

☐

Signed

Pat G

EO

Date

20/12/2023

Signed

SEO/SAO

Date

M

Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission.

To

Task No

Allow 2/3/4 weeks

BP

Signed

EO

Date

Signed

AA

Date



Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference
NPA-OBS-002909

Online Observation Details

Contact Name
Audrey Wilhite

Lodgement Date
13/12/2023 13:04:52

Case Number / Description
314485

Payment Details

Payment Method
Online Payment

Cardholder Name
Audrey Wilhite

Payment Amount
€50.00

Processing Section

S.131 Consideration Required

☒ Yes — See attached 131 Form

☐ N/A — Invalid

Signed



EO

Date

20/12/2023

Fee Refund Requisition

Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of

€

Lodgement No

LDG— 0687 52-23.

Reason for Refund

Documents Returned to Observer

☐ Yes ☐ No

Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval

☐ Yes ☐ No

Signed

EO

Date

Finance Section

Payment Reference

ch_3OMs7MB1CW0EN5FC0vUEKE2d

Checked Against Fee Income Online

EO/AA (Accounts Section)

Amount

€

Refund Date

Authorised By (1)

SEO (Finance)

Authorised By (2)

Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board Member

Date

Date

9 The View
Robswall
Malahide
Co Dublin
K36E088
089 7017553

13/12/2023

Att Bord Pleanála- REF 314485

I am liaised with DAA on numerous occasions with concerns on Robswall Estate as we are an elevated estate with ¾ storey terraced houses exposed to the sea. I have voiced concerns over flight patterns and been assured over and over again that our estate was not part of the route, even in person when I arranged an appointment voicing my concerns.

I have found this now to be false and disingenuous in the extreme. To make matters worse, I am the Environment officer of Robswall Association and have passed on this incorrect information to the residents, reassuring them there was nothing to worry about.

My major areas of observation and concerns are:

1. Noise zones in this submission do not match the Environmental Impact
2. Acceptance of the relevant action by ABP and thus retention of the flightpaths would set a precedent that ABP conditions should be ignored if inconvenient.
3. daa breached the passenger cap in 2019 and will most likely do so again this year.
4. daa are consistently breaching the 65 movement cap per night.
5. daa are not using the flightpaths they used in their 2007 planning permission.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation.

Yours sincerely

Audrey Wilhite

Robswall Residents Association